IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 17838 of 2004

Between:

U. Srinivas, S/o. U. Trivikram,
Hyderabad, being minor rep. by his father and - natural guardian U. Trivikarm.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Secretary, Board of Intermediate Education,
Nampally, HJyderabad, A.P.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to Issue an appropriate order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the respondent to revalue the answer sheet according to the Scheme of Valuation and key issued by the Board of Intermediate Education.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.R.PRASAD

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.S.S.PRASAD

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following :

ORDER

Petitioner appeared for the supplementary examination conducted by the Intermediate Board in June, 2004 and could get through all the papers except Chemistry. As per the policy adopted by the respondent-Board, petitioner applied for revaluation of the answer sheet in Chemistry paper and accordingly the paper was revalued and on such revaluation, the petitioner was awarded 17 marks instead of 13 marks awarded earlier. Contending that even in the revaluation petitioner has not been awarded correct marks as per the key furnished by the respondent-Board, for questions 4,13,14,16 and 19 this writ petition is filed.

Once the revaluation is done as per the policy adopted by the respondent-Board, the petitioner is not entitled for further revaluation and he is entitled to revalue the answer sheet only for the answers where 'zero' marks were awarded or where 'no' marks were awarded. Such rule has been upheld by this Court in writ petition No.9684 of 2004, dated 1.9.2004. In view of the same, the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that for question No.13, petitioner should have been awarded 7 marks but he was awarded only 3 marks and the same has not been revalued, cannot be accepted. Since no other ground is urged, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

A. GOPAL REDDY. J.

28th October, 2004

tsr.

To

1 The Secretary, Board of Intermediate Education,
Nampally, HJyderabad, A.P.

2 Two CD copies.